Record of proceedings dated 22.11.2021

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 32 of 2015	M/s. Tata Power Trading	TSDISCOMs, APSPDCL,
&	Company Ltd.	APEPDCL and APPCC
I. A. No. 5 of 2015		

Petition filed seeking questioning the illegal, unilateral and wrongful deduction of Rs. 9,72,00,000/- and Rs. 96,48,000/- towards illegal compensation claim for supply of short term power.

I. A. filed seeking release of Rs. 9,72,00,000/- and Rs. 96,48,000/- in lieu of bank guarantee for corresponding amounts.

Sri M. Ramakanth, Advocate for petitioner and Sri D. N. Sarma, OSD (Legal and Commercial) for respondents has appeared through video conference. The counsel for petitioner stated that the matter, which is pending before the Hon'ble High Court, is likely to be heard shortly and therefore, the matter may be adjourned by four weeks or more so as to enable the petitioner to state about proceeding with the matter or not. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned. Office is directed to communicate the next date of hearing after confirming about the vacation of the Hon'ble High Court.

Sd/-	Sd/-	Sd/-
Member	Member	Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 36 of 2021	M/s. L. B. Kunjir	TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking reimbursement of the principle amount along with DPS / LPS for the energy supplied to the DISCOM.

Sri V. N. Bohra, advocate for petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondent have appeared through video conference. The representative of the respondent sought further time for filing counter affidavit in the matter. He needs at least four weeks time to do so. The counsel for petitioner stated that he may also be permitted to file rejoinder, if any, by the date of hearing. Accordingly, the respondent is permitted to file counter affidavit on or before 09.12.2021 by sending the same through either email or in physical form to the petitioner. The counsel for petitioner

may file the rejoinder, if any, by sending the same through either email or in physical form to the respondent. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 13.12.2021 at 11:30 A.M.
Sd/Sd/-

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/Member Member Chairman

Case No.	Name of the Petitioner(s)			ner(s)	Name of the Respondent(s)
O. P. No. 38 of 2020	M/s.	Sri	Ambika	Steel	TSSPDCL & its officers
	Industries				

Petition filed seeking penal action against the TSSPDCL and its officers for non-compliance of the directions given in the order dated 09.09.2021 by the Commission.

Ms. Nishta, Advocate for petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for respondents have appeared through video conference. The representative of the respondents stated that the respondents are preferring an appeal before the Hon'ble ATE and they have already instructed their counsel in the matter at New Delhi, therefore, he needs some time to represent the matter. The Commission sought to emphasize and insist that the respondents shall implement the order first and if they file appeal, they should show the order to the Commission from the Hon'ble ATE. The representative of the respondents conceded that the orders have to be implemented or they should have obtained orders from the Hon'ble ATE. Since, the filing is being done in the appellate forum, as per instructions he seeks further time in the matter. The Commission made it clear that the respondents shall implement the order forthwith or else show the orders of the Hon'ble ATE by the next date of hearing. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 13.12.2021 at 11:30 A.M.

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/Member Member Chairman